

M&As

Unilever and McCormick's Strategic Deal

The following report will analyse the partial separation and “combination” of Unilever Foods and McCormick, as Unilever attempts to refocus on revenue growth and streamlining their portfolio while McCormick aims to enhance their market growth.

Nour Bishoutynourbishouty@gmail.com*Team Lead & Research Analyst, ESFS***Tuna Narin Kirdar**tunanarin@gmail.com*Research Analyst, ESFS***Alba Castillo Carreras**alba.castillo.esade@gmail.com*Research Analyst, ESFS***Grégoire Florentin**gregoireflorentinpro@gmail.com*Research Analyst, ESFS*

Krugman Insights Acknowledgement

The **ESADE Student Finance Society Research Department** would like to express its sincere gratitude to **Krugman Insights** for their support of our research initiatives.

Their commitment to promoting rigorous financial analysis and independent research has been instrumental in enabling our team to conduct in-depth studies of global markets, industries, and companies. By supporting student-led research, Krugman Insights contributes to the development of the next generation of analysts and finance professionals.

This report was made possible thanks to their encouragement of analytical thinking, data-driven insights, and academic curiosity within the ESADE Student Finance Society community.

We are grateful for their partnership and continued support of student research and financial education.



CONTENTS PAGE

Executive Summary	4
Company Overview	5
- An Overview of Unilever	5
- An Overview of McCormick and the Subsequent Corporate Structure	6
Industry Overview	7
- The Industry and Its Dynamics	7
- The Competitive Landscape and Emerging Trends	8
Financial Analysis	9
- Standalone Financial Profiles	9
- Structure, Ownership, and Combined Financials	10
Valuation	11
- Deal Valuation and Structural Analysis	11
- RMT Structure, Financing, and Profile Implications	12
Risks	13
- Execution Risks and Sensitivity Analysis	13
- Integration and Brand Equity Risks	14
- Structural, FX, and Demand Risks	15
Thesis & Recommendation	16
Appendix	18

Executive Summary

The combination of Unilever Foods and McCormick is said to create a global leader in the seasonings and condiments market. Such a combination is anticipated by analysts and experts to increase revenue, augment geographical reach, while providing product diversification and product focus per respective company.

The transaction itself will aid Unilever in their strategy to streamline their portfolio as they turn their focus to their higher-growth, higher-margin business areas including beauty and health, while simultaneously aiding McCormick in their attempt to scale their core business.

Strategically, the deal is quite sound as the demand for premium flavouring, at-home cooking, and health-centred consumer preferences increasingly and continuously shift upwards. By partially separating and combining the entities, they are left with the ability to be well positioned in a manner where they can capitalize on these trends through a larger portfolio, broader distribution, and more innovation opportunities.

However, as most mergers, the value creation in this case is very dependent on how the companies execute this combination. The transaction itself is not priced at a discount, meaning that the synergies and the margin expansion justify the investment being made. In addition to such, the deal will increase the financial leverage and integration complexity for McCormick which could pose a short-term risk.

Ultimately, the merger is strategically compelling and centred around bettering each of the companies' corporate strategies. The deal offers long-term potential for both companies in the aspects of scale, growth, and revenue. However, it is important to note that certain risks including integration, leverage, and regulatory approval are still prominent.

Company Overview

An Overview of Unilever

Unilever is a multi-category conglomerate with a global presence in 190 countries. For the fiscal year ending on December 31, 2025, the company reported a staggering €50.5 billion in turnover, subtly reflecting CEO Fernando Fernandez's intention to reduce portfolio products while focusing on "sharper focus." In addition to the five organized business groups within the Unilever business, Unilever also maintains its Food business, which has traditionally been a part of its Nutrition business segment. However, Unilever Foods will remain defined together due to its "Power Brands," which account for the majority of their growth and sales.

In 2025, Unilever's food business alone had generated \$12.9 billion in turnover, also representing around 26% of the group's total sales. The segment was characterised by a 2.5% growth in sales, mainly driven by growing sales in emerging markets the company operates in, while almost no growth in already developed markets due to an ever-growing competition from private label brands and a macro shift in consumer preferences. Within this segment, Knorr and Hellmann's are the biggest in size. Knorr brought in \$5 billion in annual sales and is a global leader in bouillon and meal starters, serving over 5 billion customers across +90 countries. Hellmann's maintains its number one position as a mayonnaise/sauce producer, having penetrated into 65 countries and achieving significant sales within emerging countries that start to prioritize premium alternatives. Apart from these well renowned brands, the deal includes, at least from Unilever's side, brands such as Maille and regional culinary products that will provide strength to Unilever's portfolio.

Although these assets have proven to provide significant profits for the company, the Unilever team found them to be growing somewhat slower than the other categories such as the Beauty and Personal Care categories (which also are higher-margin). After reviewing this somewhat trapped value in the company's conglomerate structure, Unilever decided that separating these assets will allow them to be valued similarly to pureplay competitors such as L'Oreal. Connecting it to the merger, they will also ensure that the Foods brands are placed with a management team (McCormick) that has a true focus on the flavour-based business as their only priority.

Company Overview

An Overview of McCormick and the Subsequent Corporate Structure

On the other side of the deal is McCormick & Co., headquartered in Hunt Valley Maryland, having built its global authority on flavour since 1882 and currently generating \$6.84 billion in revenue from its twin business structure for FY 2025, which include its Consumer and Flavour Solutions Segments. McCormick is strategically positioned for “flavouring calories”, a notion that consumers increasingly seek healthier, higher protein and lower portion size foods and, therefore, need for strong, high-quality flavour.

The Consumer segment, which accounted for 57.7% of 2025 revenues (approximately \$3.95 billion), provides branded spices and condiments to retail channels. In the past two years, this segment has experienced continuous increases in volume, faster than most other consumer packaged goods companies in the U.S. The Flavour Solutions segment, which accounts for 42.3% of sales or approximately \$2.89 billion, is a B2B business providing products and services to the food service and restaurant industries. This segment is becoming increasingly critical to food manufacturers who use the Flavour Solutions segment to develop distinctive flavour profiles for the products they produce and sell.

McCormick’s star products include French’s Mustard, Frank’s RedHot, and Cholula. Its performance as of February 28, 2026, is strong, with \$7.11 billion in revenues over the past 12 months and around \$1.3 billion in EBITDA. These numbers were able to pursue a merger with Unilever Foods that is valued at \$44.8 billion and will triple-fold McCormick’s scale. As a result, we see that McCormick will gain access to Unilever Foods’ products sold on six different continents.

The combined company will remain under the McCormick brand and operate out of its headquarters. Additionally, this entity will also have an international headquarters in the Netherlands, located within close proximity to Unilever’s first-class R&D facility; in doing so, they can coordinate all operations across the EMEA and Asia Pacific regions.

The combined organization will be run primarily by McCormick’s current executive team; Brendan Foley will serve as Chairman, President, and CEO, while Marcos Gabriel will serve as Chief Financial Officer. To help achieve its goal of realizing \$600 million in cost synergies, McCormick has appointed Andrew Foust as Chief Integration Officer to lead the integration of the two companies and their procurement over a three-year timeframe. The structure of the governance will consist of 12 directors, 4 appointed by Unilever; thus, providing Unilever with a means of influencing the combined company’s strategic direction during at least the initial two years following the merger.

Industry Overview

The Industry and its Dynamics

The food and beverage industry worldwide changed dramatically in 2026 from being focused on large-scale volume increases to smaller numbers of very sophisticated and innovative products that provide extra value to consumers. The industry will also be dealing with price increases compared to the prices seen prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, expected to increase 20%-25%. Within this new pricing reality, it is reasonable to assume that the flavouring, seasoning and condiment products will be the most favourable product categories from a structural perspective and provide the necessary sensory experiences associated with home-cooked meals.

The worldwide seasoning marketplace was valued at \$46.9 billion in 2025, and it is anticipated that the market will reach \$49.3 billion by the end of 2026, representing a CAGR of 5.1% through 2036. Simultaneously, the sauces and condiment market will expand from \$178.6 billion in 2026 to \$285.7 billion in 2035. The growth is mainly bolstered by the trend of “cooking at home” due to subsequent economic pressures or savings with 82% of consumers in the US changing their shopping behaviours to save money. Consumers are leaning towards professional-quality ingredients to recreate the flavour profiles that they are finding in restaurants, however, the biggest factor influencing people's buying habits continues to be economic pressure. Private Labels hit an unprecedented 23.2 per cent unit market share in February 2025 and therefore, forced branded CPG (Consumer Packaged Goods) manufacturers to compete with unique flavours rather than simply offering their products at lower price points.

An emerging, and quite unique macro trend for 2026 is how GLP-1 weight-loss drugs will influence people's purchases and product consumption. It is forecasted that GLP-1 drugs will be utilized by 20% of the U.S. market for weight management, helping decrease caloric intake overall, while concurrently establishing the need for more nutritionally dense and flavourful products. Accordingly, McCormick's (CEO) Brendan Foley has addressed and identified this trend as a major strategic opportunity. Many competitors of McCormick are “competing for the calorie” through their respective product portfolios, however, McCormick is concentrating on “flavouring the calorie”, ensuring people have an enjoyable food consumption experience with reduced calories. This approach is directly related to the biological impact of GLP-1s on people's ability to taste or perceive pleasurable flavours through the reduction in caloric intake.

Industry Overview

The Competitive Landscape and Emerging Trends

If we go back to the merger, from a competition scope, it is evident that it will challenge traditional competitive dynamics. This merger will result in new combined entities that account for a dominant position within major segments like flavour bases, seasoning products and dressings, thus, posing an immense pressure on competitors such as Nestlé and Kraft Heinz. Despite continuing to be one of the largest food businesses globally with a current \$257.4 billion market value as of April 2026, Nestlé S.A. focuses primarily on high margin business lines such as specialized nutrition, pet care & functional coffee etc. It must be said that although Nestlé is also the recognized leader amongst condiment producers via multiple brand offerings, the McCormick-Unilever merger will have a more concentrated focus in competing within the flavour category marketplace enabling them greater opportunities relative to creating new innovative products compared to Nestlé.

When it comes to Kraft Heinz, valued at \$425 billion, the company has led to a multi-year restructuring project. The global giant of condiment products has experienced nine consecutive quarters of declining sales. The company currently plans to invest \$600 million in its brands amidst increased marketing and R&D, and it continues to be a dominant player in condiment products, but we believe it could face significant competitive challenges not only related to the merger but also due to the increasing popularity of private label products. The consolidation will adversely affect mid-sized and smaller competitors, such as Simply Organic, who may face loss of shelf space once McCormick has more bargaining power with large retailers such as Walmart.

When it comes to emerging consumer trends, maybe the most prominent are lenient towards well-being and transparency. What we mean by this is that shoppers are demanding more and more verifiable evidence of clean labels and nutritional benefits. Consumers are starting to reject ultra-processed meat substitutes, and plant-based substitutes are taking a slight step back, giving growth to demand in plant-based products made such as lentils, chickpeas, and mycelium. We can argue that this is a great opportunity for McCormick and Unilever's "clean-label" seasonings and sauces to enhance the flavour of these whole-food proteins. Accordingly, global and ethnic flavours continue to drive innovation, with numbers indicating that more than 50% of consumers prioritise flavour over other attributes.

Financial Analysis

Standalone Financial Profiles

McCormick FY2025 results (fiscal year ended 30 November 2025) show revenue of \$6.84 billion, operating income of \$1.07 billion (15.6% margin), net income of \$789 million and reported EBITDA of \$1.34 billion. The company employed 14,100 people and carried net debt of \$3.90 billion against total equity of \$5.74 billion. The deal adjusted EBITDA of approximately \$1.52 billion includes 100% pro forma consolidation of McCormick de Mexico which was acquired in January 2026.

Unilever Foods generated approximately €14 billion in turnover for the most recent fiscal year with roughly 60% of that derived from the Hellmann’s and Knorr brands. The unit produces condiments, cooking aids, soups and bouillons under brands including Hellmann’s, Knorr, Maille and Unilever Food Solutions. Unilever’s full group FY2025 revenue was €50.5 billion with group EBITDA of €11.1 billion meaning Foods contributed approximately 28% of group revenue.

Metric	McCormick	Unilever Foods	Combined
FY2025 Revenue	\$6.84B	~€14.0B	~\$20.0B
Adj EBITDA	\$1.52B*	Disclosed at close	\$4.7B
EBITDA Margin	22.2%	N/A disclosed	23.5%
Operating Margin	15.6%	N/A disclosed	~21.0%
Enterprise Value	~\$21.0B	\$44.8B	\$65.8B
EV/EBITDA	13.8x	13.8x	~14.0x
EV/Sales	3.1x	3.6x	3.3x
Net Debt	\$3.90B	N/A	4.0x EBITDA**
Employees	14,100	N/A	N/A

Table 1: FY2025 Standalone Financial Profiles

Financial Analysis

Structure, Ownership, and Combined Financials

The combined company targets approximately \$20 billion in annual revenue and \$4.7 billion in adjusted EBITDA at closing. Management has guided to a 3% to 5% net sales growth rate by Year 3 supported by \$600 million in annual run rate cost synergies net of reinvestments. Approximately two thirds of synergies are expected to be captured by the end of Year 2 with one time implementation costs estimated at \$300 million. An additional \$100 million of incremental cost and revenue synergies will also be reinvested into growth initiatives. On a combined basis the FY2025 adjusted EBITDA margin is approximately 23.5%. Including estimated run rate synergies, the Year 3 operating margin target is 23 to 25%. The portfolio will derive roughly 70% of sales from consumer retail and 30% from foodservice and industrial flavour solutions with approximately 40% of revenue from emerging markets. Key brands include McCormick spices, Knorr, Hellmann's, Frank's RedHot, Cholula, Maille, Old Bay and French's.

The transaction values Unilever Foods at an enterprise value of \$44.8 billion. Consideration comprises equity (65% of fully diluted shares) and a \$15.7 billion one-time cash payment. The equity component is valued at \$29.1 billion based on McCormick's one month volume weighted average price of \$57.84. Post-closing ownership will be Unilever shareholders 55.1%, McCormick shareholders 35.0% and Unilever PLC (retained stake) 9.9%, subject to an orderly sell-down.

McCormick has secured a \$15.7 billion committed bridge financing facility from Citigroup Global Markets, Goldman Sachs Bank USA and Morgan Stanley Senior Funding. At closing, the combined net leverage is expected to be 4.0x EBITDA or less with a targeted return to 3.0x within two years post close. Management intends to maintain an investment grade credit rating.

Equity markets reacted negatively to the announcement. McCormick shares closed at \$50.40 on 27 April 2026, down from a 52-week high of \$78.16. Year-to-date the stock has declined 8.5% with a one-year total return of negative 28.9%. Unilever's London listed shares fell from 5,134 GBX on 3 March to 4,199 GBX on the day of confirmation, and a decline of 3.29 GBP within 24 hours of the announcement. The stock remained depressed at approximately 4,219 GBX by 21 April 2026 consistently trading below its 20-day, 50-day and 200-day moving averages. The negative reaction reflects investor concern about the loss of Unilever's cash generative Foods division and execution risk on the McCormick side.

Valuation

Deal Valuation and Structural Analysis

Multiples Benchmarking

The 13.8x FY2025 EBITDA multiple applied to Unilever Foods is identical to the multiple applied to McCormick indicating parity-based negotiation with no control premium paid to either side. This multiple sits at the upper end of branded consumer staples valuations and is consistent with McCormick's own trading multiple prior to deal rumours. The 3.6x EV/Sales ratio for Unilever Foods reflects the unit's superior margin profile relative to McCormick's 3.1x. McCormick's standalone operating margin of 15.6% trails the combined pro forma target of 21% suggesting that Unilever Foods contributes a meaningfully higher marginal revenue. The identical EBITDA multiple therefore implies that McCormick's lower margin is offset by its growth profile, brand equity in spices and hot sauces and proven M&A integration track record.

Consideration Mix and Dilution

The consideration structure is 65% equity and 35% cash by value. The equity component requires McCormick to issue new shares equal to 65% of the fully diluted combined company implying a dilution ratio of roughly 1.86:1 (new shares to existing shares). The \$15.7 billion cash component will be funded through new debt issuance plus existing balance sheet cash. This effectively transfers leverage from Unilever's balance sheet to the combined entity. Post closing, McCormick shareholders own 35% of a company with 4.0x net leverage, whereas pre deal they owned 100% of a company with 2.6x net leverage (\$3.90B net debt / \$1.52B deal adjusted EBITDA). The transaction therefore increases financial risk for legacy McCormick shareholders while simultaneously diluting their equity stake by approximately 65%.

Value Contribution vs Ownership Outcome

McCormick's standalone enterprise value at the 13.8x multiple applied to its \$1.52 billion deal-adjusted EBITDA implies approximately \$21.0 billion. This represents roughly 31.9% of the \$65.8 billion combined enterprise value (\$21.0B + \$44.8B). However, McCormick shareholders will retain 35.0% of the combined equity, a 3.1 percentage point ownership premium over strict EV contribution. This premium is economically justified by three factors. First, McCormick's management retains operational control: Brendan Foley remains CEO and Marcos Gabriel remains CFO. Second, McCormick contributes a proven integration track record having successfully absorbed Frank's RedHot, Cholula and French's. Third, the McCormick name, NYSE listing and Hunt Valley headquarters are preserved, providing organisational continuity that reduces integration execution risk.

Valuation

RMT Structure , Financing, and Profile Implications

The transaction is structured as a Reverse Morris Trust (RMT), intended to be tax-free for Unilever and its shareholders for US federal income tax purposes. In an RMT, Unilever will spin off its Foods business into a separate entity (SpinCo) which then merges with McCormick. This structure mitigates the overall tax costs associated with separation compared with a taxable asset sale or stock sale.

Key structural terms include: a 24 month outside date for closing, a \$420 million termination fee payable by McCormick if its board changes its recommendation or enters a competing transaction and a \$75 million expense reimbursement if McCormick's shareholder approval is not obtained. McCormick must also use reasonable best efforts to obtain a European secondary listing within 120 days if Unilever so elects. The RMT requires McCormick shareholders to approve the issuance of shares representing more than 20% of outstanding stock. Unilever will appoint four of twelve board members upon closing.

The combined company's \$4.7 billion in pro forma adjusted EBITDA supports the \$15.7 billion bridge facility with a debt to EBITDA ratio of 3.3x at closing (implied by the 4.0x net leverage target which includes existing net debt). The \$600 million in annual run rate synergies, once captured expands EBITDA to approximately \$5.3 billion reducing the leverage ratio to 2.9x on a run rate basis.

Coverage ratios are also supportive. Interest expense on the bridge facility (estimated at 6 to 7% all in cost given current investment grade spreads) would total approximately \$1.0 to 1.1 billion annually. Against \$4.7 billion EBITDA, this yields interest coverage of 4.3 to 4.7x which is comfortably above the 3.0x threshold typically required for investment-grade ratings.

Both McCormick and Unilever have historically maintained dividend payout ratios of approximately 60%. The combined company expects to continue this policy implying approximately \$2.8 billion in annual dividends against \$4.7 billion in operating cash flow (assuming 90% cash conversion), leaving \$1.9 billion annually for debt repayment before growth capex. This supports the stated 3.0x leverage target within two years.

Risks

Execution Risk and Sensitivity Analysis

The Unilever Foods-McCormick merger carries a materially higher risk profile than a conventional strategic acquisition because value creation depends on the simultaneous execution of three demanding processes: financing a \$44.8 billion transaction, integrating two global consumer goods platforms, and preserving the tax efficiency of a Reverse Morris Trust structure. The strategic rationale is credible, but the risk-adjusted investment case is highly execution-sensitive. The valuation leaves limited room for error, as Unilever Foods is valued at 13.8x 2025E EBITDA and 3.6x sales, broadly in line with McCormick's own multiple, meaning shareholder value creation must come primarily from synergy delivery, margin expansion and successful deleveraging rather than from a discounted purchase price.

The most immediate financial risk is leverage. The \$15.7 billion cash component payable to Unilever will be financed principally through new debt, increasing McCormick's net debt/EBITDA from below 1.0x pre-transaction to approximately 4.3x at closing. This is a significant deterioration in balance sheet flexibility for a company that historically benefited from conservative leverage, strong cash conversion and Dividend Aristocrat credentials. Management expects deleveraging to approximately 3.0x within two years, but that trajectory depends on sustained free cash flow generation, organic growth and timely synergy capture. At a 5% assumed blended borrowing cost, annual interest expense would be approximately \$785 million, a substantial claim on operating cash flow. If borrowing costs increased by 1 percentage point, McCormick would pay around \$157 million more in annual interest, leaving less cash available to repay debt, pay dividends or reinvest in the business. If interest rates remain high, this level of debt could limit McCormick's ability to invest, reduce debt or return cash to shareholders, and could also put pressure on its valuation.

The leverage risk is amplified by the transaction's valuation structure. Because the deal multiple is not cheap, any downside to EBITDA, synergies or interest costs would effectively increase the price paid for the business. For example, if regulatory remedies, demand weakness or integration disruption reduce the acquired EBITDA base, McCormick would still be servicing the same debt burden against a lower earnings pool. This puts additional pressure on cash flow at a time when the company needs to reduce debt. McCormick's pre-deal Altman Z-Score stood at 2.22, already placing the company in the "grey zone" of moderate financial risk. After the merger, the higher debt burden could weaken this position further, making rapid deleveraging very important.

Risks

Integration and Brand Equity Risks

Integration risk is the second central threat to the investment thesis. The transaction combines McCormick, a North America-headquartered flavour company, with Unilever Foods, a historically European and globally embedded CPG division operating across more than 90 countries. The operational challenge is therefore not limited to merging brands, as it involves integrating manufacturing networks, procurement systems, ERP platforms, marketing structures, employee bases and regional commercial strategies. This is especially relevant because the \$600 million cost synergy target is one of the main pillars supporting the accretion case. If synergies are delayed, only partially realised or offset by higher restructuring costs, the expected Year 1 and Year 3 EPS accretion could be materially lower than management guidance.

There is also a brand equity risk embedded in the synergy plan. Knorr, Hellmann's, McCormick, Frank's RedHot, Cholula, Maille and French's are premium branded assets whose pricing power depends on sustained marketing, innovation and consumer relevance. Excessive cost extraction could damage brand health, particularly if savings come from reduced advertising, weaker local market execution or slower product innovation. Management's commitment to reinvest \$100 million into brand support is positive, but it also implicitly acknowledges the risk that integration-driven margin expansion could otherwise come at the expense of long-term franchise value. For a consumer staples platform, this is not a cosmetic concern: weakening brand equity would reduce pricing power, accelerate private label substitution and undermine the premium multiple assigned to the combined entity.

Regulatory and antitrust risk is also relevant. The transaction requires clearance in the United States, the European Union and the United Kingdom. The combined company would hold strong positions across condiments, spices, sauces and seasonings, including overlapping assets such as Hellmann's, French's, Frank's RedHot, Cholula and McCormick spices. Regulators are likely to scrutinise specific subcategories and national markets, with the possibility of an FTC Second Request, EU divestiture remedies or a CMA Phase 2 review. Even if the transaction ultimately closes, remedies could reduce revenue and EBITDA, weaken the synergy base and increase the effective valuation paid for retained assets. Delay is also financially relevant: the expected mid-2027 closing already implies a lengthy review period, and any extension would prolong uncertainty around financing costs and integration planning.

Risks

Structural, FX, and Demand Risks

The Reverse Morris Trust structure creates a further tail risk. Its tax efficiency depends on Unilever shareholders retaining majority ownership of the combined entity for at least two years after closing. If McCormick undertakes share buybacks, acquisitions or dilutive transactions that reduce historic Unilever shareholder ownership below the required threshold, the tax-free treatment could be disqualified, potentially creating a severe tax liability for Unilever. Although this is low-to-medium probability, its severity is very high. It also imposes an indirect strategic cost: McCormick's capital allocation flexibility will be constrained during the post-close period, limiting its ability to pursue additional M&A or aggressive repurchases.

Finally, market, consumer and currency risks should not be underestimated. The combined entity will operate in more than 90 countries with a multicurrency revenue and cost base. Since McCormick reports in USD while much of Unilever Foods' revenue is generated in EUR, GBP and emerging market currencies, adverse FX movements could reduce reported EBITDA and slow deleveraging.

Consumer demand risk is also relevant: if cost-of-living pressure sustains private label trade-down in Europe or weakens volume growth in North America, the combined entity may find it harder to deliver the assumed 3–5% organic growth rate. Overall, the merger's risk profile is high but not unmanageable, and the decisive issue is whether management can convert scale into cash flow quickly enough to offset leverage, regulatory delay and integration complexity.

Thesis and Recommendations

Investment Thesis

The Unilever Foods–McCormick merger is strategically attractive but financially demanding. The transaction creates a global flavour and condiments leader with approximately \$20 billion in annual revenue, combining Unilever Foods' international strength in Knorr, Hellmann's, Maille and foodservice with McCormick's established position in spices, seasonings, hot sauces and flavour solutions. From an industry perspective, the deal is well aligned with structural growth trends: consumers are cooking more at home, demanding stronger flavour profiles, trading up selectively into premium sauces and seasonings, and seeking healthier or lower-calorie meals without sacrificing taste. This supports McCormick's strategic focus on "flavouring the calorie" rather than competing directly for calorie volume.

However, the investment thesis is not simply that two strong businesses are being combined. The transaction only creates value if McCormick can convert scale into higher margins, stronger cash flow and disciplined deleveraging. The valuation of Unilever Foods at \$44.8 billion, equivalent to 13.8x FY2025 EBITDA and 3.6x sales, appears defensible for a high-quality branded food platform, but it is not cheap. This means the transaction leaves limited room for execution errors. The \$600 million annual run-rate synergy target is therefore central to the thesis, as it is expected to support a combined operating margin of approximately 23% to 25% by Year 3. If these synergies are delayed, offset by integration costs or achieved through underinvestment in brands, the financial logic of the transaction would weaken materially.

The deal also changes McCormick's risk profile. Pre-transaction, McCormick was a smaller but relatively stable flavour company with strong cash generation and moderate leverage. Post-transaction, it becomes a much larger global consumer staples platform, but with net leverage expected to rise to around 4.0x EBITDA at closing. Management's target to reduce leverage to approximately 3.0x within two years is achievable, but only if EBITDA growth, synergy capture, and cash conversion remain on track. Therefore, the merger should be viewed as a high-quality strategic transaction with execution-contingent value creation, rather than as an immediately low-risk investment opportunity.

Thesis and Recommendations

Our Recommendation

Our recommendation for McCormick and the combined entity is Hold / Neutral, with medium-term upside potential. The long-term strategic rationale is strong: the combined company will have greater scale, broader geographic reach, a more diversified brand portfolio and a stronger position across retail, foodservice, and flavour solutions. These characteristics should support pricing power and justify a premium valuation if management delivers on its targets. Nevertheless, the near-term risk-reward is balanced rather than clearly attractive. Legacy McCormick shareholders will face material dilution, higher leverage and integration uncertainty, while regulatory approval remains a relevant risk in the United States, the European Union and the United Kingdom. For this reason, a more positive recommendation would require evidence of regulatory progress, early synergy delivery, stable market share in key brands and visible deleveraging.

For Unilever, our view is more constructive. The transaction supports the group's strategic shift toward a sharper Home and Personal Care-focused portfolio, while the \$15.7 billion cash proceeds provide capacity for debt reduction, transaction costs and a €6 billion buyback program. Although Unilever is disposing of a high-margin and cash-generative Foods division, the market may eventually reward the group with a clearer valuation profile if the remaining business delivers stronger organic growth and margin resilience. The retained 9.9% stake in the combined McCormick entity also allows Unilever to maintain some exposure to the future upside of the merged food platform.

Overall, the merger is strategically compelling but not risk-free. For McCormick, the appropriate stance is cautious until execution risk declines. For Unilever, the transaction is more clearly aligned with portfolio simplification and shareholder returns. The final investment conclusion is therefore constructive but disciplined: the deal can create substantial long-term value, but only if management protects brand equity, captures synergies without excessive disruption and reduces leverage within the stated timeframe.

Appendix & Bibliography

Works Cited 1

“Annual Report and Accounts 2025.” Unilever, <https://www.unilever.com/investors/annual-report-and-accounts/>. Accessed 2 May 2026. Choudhury, Nandini Roy.

“Seasoning Market.” Future Market Insights, 25 February 2026, <https://www.futuremarketinsights.com/reports/seasoning-market>. Accessed 1 May 2026.

“Focus and execution drive competitive performance.” Unilever, 12 February 2026, <https://www.unilever.com/news/press-and-media/press-releases/2026/sharper-focus-and-disciplined-execution-driving-competitive-performance/>. Accessed 2 May 2026.

Kaizen. Kaizen Institute, 2026, <https://kaizen.com/insights/food-industry-trends-2026/>. Accessed 30 April 2026.

“McCormick & Company 2026 Profile: Stock Performance & Earnings.” PitchBook, <https://pitchbook.com/profiles/company/41201-38#stock>. Accessed 2 May 2026.

“McCORMICK REPORTS STRONG 2025 FINANCIAL RESULTS AND PROVIDES 2026 OUTLOOK.” McCormick, 22 January 2026, <https://ir.mccormick.com/news-releases/news-release-details/mccormick-reports-strong-2025-financial-results-and-provides>. Accessed 2 May 2026.

“McCormick to Combine with Unilever's Foods Business, Creating a Preeminent Global Flavor-Focused Company.” McCormick, 31 March 2026, <https://ir.mccormick.com/news-releases/news-release-details/mccormick-combine-unilevers-foods-business-creating-preeminent>. Accessed 2 May 2026.

“Q4 and Full Year 2025 Results Full Announcement.” Unilever, 12 February 2026, <https://www.unilever.com/files/ir-q4-2025-full-announcement.pdf>. Accessed 2 May 2026.

Appendix & Bibliography

Works Cited 2

Reuters. "Analysis-Unilever and McCormick investors find \$65 billion food deal hard to swallow." Investing.com, 31 March 2026, <https://www.investing.com/news/stock-market-news/analysisunilever-and-mccormick-investors-find-65-billion-food-deal-hard-to-swallow-4591129>. Accessed 1 May 2026.

"Unilever Annual Report and Accounts 2025." Unilever, 4 March 2026, <https://www.unilever.com/files/unilever-annual-report-and-accounts-2025.pdf>. Accessed 2 May 2026.

"Unilever combines Unilever Foods with McCormick." Unilever, 31 March 2026, <https://www.unilever.com/news/press-and-media/press-releases/2026/unilever-announces-the-combination-of-unilever-foods-with-mccormick/>. Accessed 2 May 2026.

"Unilever combines Unilever Foods with McCormick." Unilever, 31 March 2026, <https://www.unilever.com/news/press-and-media/press-releases/2026/unilever-announces-the-combination-of-unilever-foods-with-mccormick/>. Accessed 2 May 2026.

"Unilever Foods and McCormick Agreement | Company Announcement." Investegate, 31 March 2026, <https://www.investegate.co.uk/announcement/rns/unilever--ulvr/unilever-foods-and-mccormick-agreement/9501132>. Accessed 2 May 2026.

"Unilever Foods and McCormick Agreement | Company Announcement." Investegate, 31 March 2026, <https://www.investegate.co.uk/announcement/rns/unilever--ulvr/unilever-foods-and-mccormick-agreement/9501132>. Accessed 2 May 2026.

"Unilever pre-close aide-mémoire Q1 2026." Unilever, 9 April 2026, <https://www.unilever.com/files/unilever-pre-close-aide-memoire-q1-2026.pdf>. Accessed 2 May 2026. [/files/ir-q4-2025-full-announcement.pdf](https://www.unilever.com/files/ir-q4-2025-full-announcement.pdf). Accessed 2 May 2026.

Appendix & Bibliography

Additional PDF Sources

McCormick FY2025 Financial Statements -
<https://finance.yahoo.com/quote/MKC/financials/>

McCormick Stock Quote - <https://finance.yahoo.com/quote/MKC/>

Unilever FY2025 Financial Statements -
<https://finance.yahoo.com/quote/UL/financials/>

Unilever Stock Quote - <https://finance.yahoo.com/quote/UL/>